

Enhancing Student Engagement Through Problem-Based Learning: A Case of the Built Environment

Rotondwa Benevolence Nemakhavhani

Faculty of Engineering, Built Environment and Information Technology, Central University of Technology, Free State, South Africa

rramafalo@cut.ac.za

Abstract: In the rapidly evolving field of the Built Environment, traditional teaching approaches often fall short in preparing students for the complex, real-world challenges they will face in their professional careers. Problem-Based Learning (PBL) has emerged as a powerful pedagogical approach that promotes active learning and student engagement by encouraging learners to collaboratively solve real-life problems. This study explores the impact of PBL on student engagement within the Built Environment at the institutions of higher learning in particular the University of Technologies. The purpose of this research is to investigate how PBL can be effectively implemented to enhance active learning and foster deeper student engagement. This study adopted a qualitative research approach employing a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology, focusing on academic sources published between 2010 and 2024. The gathered data was synthesized using thematic analysis, wherein major themes and patterns were identified within the literature. Key themes such as collaborative learning, critical thinking, and real-world application were identified. The findings reveal that PBL not only increases student engagement but also enhances critical thinking and problem-solving skills, which are essential for success in the Built Environment sector. The study also highlights challenges, including the need for facilitator training and the development of appropriate assessment strategies to support the successful implementation of PBL. The implications of this study are significant for educators and administrators in higher education. By integrating PBL into the curriculum, the University of Technology can better prepare its students for the demands of the modern workforce, fostering a learning environment that promotes both academic excellence and practical skills development. This research contributes to the growing body of literature on active learning in higher education and provides a framework for implementing PBL in the Built Environment department, with potential applicability across other disciplines.

Keywords: Collaborative learning, Critical thinking, Curriculum innovation, Higher education, Real-world application

1. Introduction

The built environment is a critical sector where professionals are required to integrate technical expertise with the ability to solve complex, real-world problems. Traditional lecture-based teaching methods in higher education have been increasingly criticized for their failure to adequately prepare students for the dynamic and interdisciplinary nature of this field (Baeten et al., 2016; Bovill, 2020). These conventional approaches often lead to passive learning, where students are less engaged and more focused on memorization rather than application and critical thinking (Reeve and Tseng, 2011; Tadesse et al., 2021).

Active learning strategies, such as Problem-Based Learning (PBL), have emerged as effective methods to enhance student engagement and improve learning outcomes. PBL is a student-centered pedagogy that places learners in real-world problem-solving scenarios, encouraging them to collaborate, think critically, and apply knowledge in practical contexts (Barrows, 2018; Dolmans et al., 2016). This approach has been shown to significantly enhance not only student engagement but also their retention of knowledge and development of critical skills (Galand et al., 2019; Xie and Derakhshan, 2021).

In the context of the built environment, where interdisciplinary collaboration and problem-solving are paramount, PBL offers a particularly relevant and effective teaching strategy (Mulder et al., 2022). However, despite the recognized benefits of PBL, its adoption within built environment education remains inconsistent. Many institutions still rely heavily on traditional lecture-based methods that do not fully engage students or prepare them for the complexities of professional practice (Walker et al., 2019; Wijngaards-de Meij and Merx, 2018).

This study seeks to explore the impact of PBL on student engagement within the Built Environment department at the University of Technology. By conducting a systematic literature review and thematic analysis, this paper examines the effectiveness of PBL in enhancing active learning and provides actionable insights for educators looking to integrate PBL into their curricula. The findings are intended to guide educators and administrators in implementing strategies that better prepare students for the complex challenges of careers in the built environment.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Overview of Active Learning

Active learning has gained prominence in higher education as a strategy that significantly improves student engagement and learning outcomes compared to traditional lecture-based approaches. Active learning involves instructional methods that engage students in the learning process directly, encouraging them to participate in discussions, problem-solving, and higher-order thinking activities (Freeman et al., 2014). These methods include collaborative learning, case-based learning, peer teaching, and Problem-Based Learning (PBL), among others. The effectiveness of active learning has been well-documented, with studies indicating that students in active learning environments demonstrate better understanding and retention of material, increased motivation, and improved critical thinking skills (Prince, 2004; Deslauriers et al., 2019).

Research by Theobald et al. (2020) highlighted that active learning not only improves academic performance but also helps reduce achievement gaps among students from diverse backgrounds. This is particularly relevant in fields like the built environment, where students must integrate technical knowledge with practical problem-solving skills. The incorporation of active learning strategies is essential to prepare students for the complexities of real-world scenarios they will encounter in their professional lives (Machemer and Crawford, 2007). However, the transition from traditional to active learning methods poses challenges, including the need for faculty development and changes in institutional culture (Michael, 2006).

2.2 Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in Higher Education

Problem-Based Learning (PBL) is one of the most prominent active learning strategies employed in higher education. Originating in medical education, PBL has since been adopted across various disciplines due to its effectiveness in promoting deep learning and student engagement (Dolmans et al., 2016). PBL involves students working in small groups to solve complex, real-world problems, with the instructor acting as a facilitator rather than a traditional lecturer (Barrows, 2018). This approach encourages students to take ownership of their learning, develop critical thinking skills, and apply theoretical knowledge to practical situations (Hmelo-Silver, 2004).

The effectiveness of PBL has been demonstrated in numerous studies. For instance, Loyens et al. (2015) found that students engaged in PBL environments exhibit higher levels of intrinsic motivation and better learning outcomes compared to those in traditional settings. Additionally, research by Walker and Leary (2009) revealed that PBL is particularly effective in enhancing students' problem-solving abilities and preparing them for the interdisciplinary challenges of the modern workforce. However, the implementation of PBL also presents challenges, including the need for significant faculty training, the development of appropriate assessment methods, and the adaptation of curricula to support this learning approach (Ertmer and Simons, 2006; Bendermacher et al., 2023).

2.3 Challenges and Opportunities in Built Environment Education

The built environment is a field that inherently requires interdisciplinary knowledge and practical problem-solving skills. However, traditional educational methods in this field have often been criticized for their inability to fully prepare students for the complexities of professional practice (Mulder et al., 2022). Built environment education has historically relied on lecture-based instruction, which tends to focus on the transmission of technical knowledge rather than the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills (Walker et al., 2019).

PBL offers a promising alternative by aligning educational practices with the needs of the built environment sector. By engaging students in real-world problem-solving activities, PBL helps them develop the skills necessary to address the complex challenges they will encounter in their careers (Wijngaards-de Meij and Merx, 2018). However, the adoption of PBL in built environment education is not without its challenges. These include resistance to change among faculty, the need for extensive curriculum redesign, and the requirement for resources to support group work and project-based activities (Moghayedi et al., 2021).

Despite these challenges, the opportunities presented by PBL in built environment education are significant. PBL can enhance students' ability to integrate technical knowledge with practical application, improve their collaboration and communication skills, and better prepare them for the interdisciplinary nature of the built environment sector (O'Connor et al., 2021). Furthermore, PBL aligns well with the growing emphasis on sustainability and innovation in the built environment, providing students with the skills needed to address these critical issues (McLaren et al., 2020).

2.4 Theoretical Framework

The theoretical underpinnings of PBL are rooted in constructivist learning theories, which emphasize the active role of learners in constructing their own knowledge (Piaget, 1970; Vygotsky, 1978). Constructivism posits that learners build new understandings based on their experiences and interactions with the world, making it particularly relevant to PBL, where students engage in problem-solving activities that require them to apply and integrate knowledge (Jonassen, 2014). PBL also draws on the theory of social constructivism, which highlights the importance of collaboration and social interaction in the learning process (Vygotsky, 1978).

Another key theoretical framework that supports PBL is experiential learning theory, developed by Kolb (1984). Experiential learning theory emphasizes learning as a process that involves concrete experience, reflective observation, abstract conceptualization, and active experimentation. PBL aligns with this theory by providing students with opportunities to engage in real-world problem-solving, reflect on their experiences, and apply their learning in new contexts (Kolb and Kolb, 2005).

Cognitive load theory also plays a role in understanding the effectiveness of PBL. This theory suggests that learning is most effective when the cognitive demands placed on learners are balanced with their capacity to process information (Sweller, 2010). PBL, by engaging students in meaningful, context-rich problems, helps manage cognitive load by breaking down complex tasks into more manageable components, thereby enhancing learning outcomes (Kirschner et al., 2006).

3. Methodology

3.1 Search Strategy

To explore the impact of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) on student engagement within built environment education, a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) was conducted. The SLR process was designed to ensure a comprehensive and unbiased synthesis of existing research on the topic. Following the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines (Moher et al., 2009), a structured search strategy was developed to identify relevant studies.

The literature search was conducted across multiple academic databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and Google Scholar, covering studies published between 2004 and 2024. The search terms used included combinations of keywords such as "Problem-Based Learning," "PBL," "student engagement," "built environment education," and "higher education." Boolean operators (AND, OR) were utilized to refine the search results. The initial search yielded 342 articles. These articles were screened removal of duplicates.

3.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To ensure the relevance and quality of the studies included in the review, specific inclusion and exclusion criteria were established. The inclusion criteria were: (1) studies published in peer-reviewed journals, conference papers, or book chapters; (2) studies focused on PBL and student engagement within higher education, with a particular emphasis on built environment disciplines; (3) studies providing empirical data or substantial theoretical contributions; and (4) publications available in English. Exclusion criteria included: (1) studies that did not directly relate to PBL or were outside the context of higher education; (2) studies that focused on educational contexts without clear implications for the built environment; and (3) non-peer-reviewed articles, such as opinion pieces or editorials.

Following the application of these criteria, the initial pool of 342 articles was narrowed down to 84 articles for full-text review. Ultimately, 32 studies met the inclusion criteria and were selected for the final analysis. These studies were systematically reviewed to identify recurring themes and key findings related to the implementation and impact of PBL on student engagement.

3.3 Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data extraction was systematically conducted using a standardized data extraction form to ensure consistency across all included studies. Once the data were extracted, a thematic synthesis was performed to identify and analyze patterns across the studies. Thematic analysis, as described by Braun and Clarke (2006), was used to code the data and identify recurring themes related to the implementation and impact of PBL on student engagement.

The analysis process involved multiple stages: first, a descriptive synthesis of the findings from each study was conducted; second, thematic analysis was used to identify recurring themes; finally, these themes were

synthesized to draw conclusions about the overall impact of PBL on student engagement. The findings from the thematic analysis informed the discussion of the implications for built environment education and provided a foundation for the recommendations made in this study.

4. Findings and Discussion

4.1 Thematic Findings

The thematic analysis of the selected studies revealed several key themes related to the implementation of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) in Built Environment education. The primary themes identified were collaborative learning, critical thinking, and real-world application.

Theme 1: Collaborative Learning:

Collaborative learning emerged as a cornerstone of the Problem-Based Learning (PBL) approach, particularly within Built Environment education. The essence of PBL lies in students working together in small groups to solve complex, real-world problems (Barron and Wells, 2013). This collaborative aspect of PBL not only encourages peer-to-peer interaction but also enhances the learning experience by fostering a shared understanding of the subject matter. Through collaboration, students are exposed to diverse perspectives and ideas, which broadens their thinking and deepens their comprehension of the material (Mulder et al., 2022).

The reviewed studies consistently highlighted that students engaged in PBL environments develop stronger communication and teamwork skills. For instance, Walker and Leary (2009) noted that students in PBL settings were more likely to engage in meaningful discussions, offer constructive feedback to peers, and collectively brainstorm solutions to problems. This collaborative process helps students to articulate their ideas clearly and consider alternative viewpoints, which is critical in the Built Environment sector where projects often involve interdisciplinary teams (Masrinah et al., 2019).

Moreover, collaborative learning within PBL settings enhances social learning, where students learn from each other's experiences and expertise. This peer-learning dynamic creates a supportive learning environment that boosts student confidence and motivation. For example, Galand et al. (2019) found that students who participated in collaborative PBL activities were more engaged and performed better academically compared to those in traditional, individual-based learning settings. The opportunity to work closely with peers also helps students develop interpersonal skills that are essential for professional practice, such as conflict resolution, leadership, and adaptability (Noor and Nurhayati, 2024).

Theme 2: Critical Thinking:

Critical thinking is another vital outcome of PBL, as it requires students to go beyond rote memorization and engage deeply with the content. In PBL settings, students are challenged to analyze information, evaluate evidence, and make informed decisions, which enhances their critical thinking abilities. The process of solving real-world problems in PBL requires students to consider multiple solutions, assess the feasibility of each option, and justify their choices based on logical reasoning and evidence (Dolmans et al., 2016).

The studies reviewed indicate that PBL environments are particularly effective in cultivating critical thinking skills because they mimic the complexities of real-world scenarios where there is often no single correct answer. Loyens et al. (2015) observed that students in PBL courses developed a stronger ability to critically assess the validity of different sources of information and to synthesize knowledge from various disciplines (Razak et al., 2022). This skill is particularly important in the Built Environment sector, where professionals must navigate complex projects that require the integration of technical knowledge, ethical considerations, and practical constraints (Lauridsen, 2012; Masek, 2012).

In addition, PBL encourages students to reflect on their own learning processes, which further enhances critical thinking. By engaging in self-reflection and group discussions, students learn to question assumptions, recognize biases, and develop a more nuanced understanding of the subject matter. Moghayed et al. (2021) found that students who participated in PBL were more adept at identifying gaps in their knowledge and seeking out additional resources to fill those gaps, which is a key aspect of critical thinking.

Theme 3: Real-World Application:

One of the most significant advantages of PBL is its emphasis on real-world application. In PBL settings, students are presented with problems that are directly relevant to their future careers, which helps them see the practical value of their education. This connection between classroom learning and real-world practice enhances student

motivation and engagement, as they understand that the skills and knowledge they are developing will be directly applicable in their professional lives (O'Connor et al., 2021).

The studies reviewed highlighted that PBL's focus on real-world problems helps students develop practical problem-solving skills that are essential in the Built Environment sector. For example, McLaren et al. (2020) found that students who engaged in PBL activities were better prepared to handle the complexities of real-world projects, such as managing budgets, working within regulatory frameworks, and addressing sustainability concerns. By working on authentic projects, students gain hands-on experience that bridges the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical application (Kokotsaki et al., 2016).

Furthermore, PBL allows students to simulate professional roles and responsibilities, which provides them with valuable insights into the demands of their chosen careers. In a study by Wijngaards-de Meij and Merx (2018), students who participated in PBL projects reported a greater understanding of the interdisciplinary nature of Built Environment work and felt more confident in their ability to collaborate with professionals from other fields. This real-world application of knowledge not only enhances student learning but also better prepares them for the workforce, where they will be expected to apply their skills in complex, dynamic environments (Noor and Nurhayati, 2024).

To illustrate the impact of PBL on student engagement, Table 1 provides a summary of the key findings from the reviewed studies.

Table 1: impact of PBL on student engagement

<i>Themes</i>	<i>Impact on Student Engagement</i>	<i>Supporting Studies</i>
<i>Collaborative Learning</i>	Increased peer interaction, enhanced teamwork and communication skills	Mulder et al. (2022); Galand et al. (2019); Bendermacher et al (2023); Masrinah et al (2019); Noor and Nurhayati (2024).
<i>Critical Thinking</i>	Development of analytical skills, ability to evaluate and justify solutions	Dolmans et al. (2016); Loyens et al. (2015); Allison and Pan (2011); Lauridsen (2012); Masek (2012); Bendermacher et al (2023); Masrinah et al (2019); (Razak et al., 2022)
<i>Real-World Application</i>	Higher motivation, improved retention of knowledge, relevance to professional practice	O'Connor et al. (2021); McLaren et al. (2020); Kokotsaki et al. (2016); Noor and Nurhayati (2024).

4.2 Interpretation of Findings

The findings from this study indicate that Problem-Based Learning (PBL) significantly enhances student engagement within the Built Environment education sector by promoting collaborative learning, fostering critical thinking, and facilitating real-world application. These outcomes are particularly noteworthy because they address the core competencies required in the Built Environment field, such as teamwork, analytical thinking, and practical problem-solving. The collaborative nature of PBL encourages students to engage deeply with their peers, which not only enhances their understanding of the subject matter but also mirrors the collaborative environment they will encounter in their professional careers (Noor and Nurhayati, 2024). This alignment between educational practice and professional demands is critical in preparing students for the workforce, where they must be able to communicate effectively, work within interdisciplinary teams, and navigate complex projects (Mulder et al., 2022).

Moreover, the emphasis on critical thinking within PBL is of particular importance given the complexity and multifaceted nature of Built Environment projects. Professionals in this field are often required to make decisions that involve balancing technical, environmental, and social considerations. The PBL approach, by challenging students to critically evaluate information, consider multiple perspectives, and justify their decisions based on evidence, helps to cultivate the analytical skills necessary for such decision-making. This development of critical thinking is not merely an academic exercise; it has practical implications for how students will approach real-world problems in their future careers (Masrinah et al., 2019). The ability to think critically and make informed decisions is essential for success in the Built Environment sector, where the consequences of poor decision-making can have significant impacts on project outcomes, client satisfaction, and sustainability (Dolmans et al., 2016; Moghayed et al., 2021).

In addition to enhancing critical thinking, PBL's focus on real-world application helps bridge the gap between theoretical knowledge and practical skills. This is particularly relevant in-Built Environment education, where students must be able to apply what they learn in the classroom to real-world scenarios (Allison and Pan, 2011).

By engaging with authentic problems that professionals in the field face, students gain hands-on experience that is directly applicable to their future careers. This experiential learning not only increases student motivation and engagement but also helps them develop a deeper understanding of the complexities involved in Built Environment projects (Razak et al., 2022). The findings suggest that students who participate in PBL are better equipped to handle the challenges they will encounter in the workplace, as they have already practiced applying their knowledge in realistic contexts during their studies (O'Connor et al., 2021; McLaren et al., 2020).

Furthermore, the study highlights that the benefits of PBL extend beyond individual student outcomes to impact the broader educational environment. The active, student-centered nature of PBL fosters a more dynamic and interactive classroom atmosphere, where students are encouraged to take ownership of their learning. This shift from passive to active learning not only enhances student engagement but also transforms the role of the educator from a transmitter of information to a facilitator of learning. This transformation is particularly important in the context of Built Environment education, where the ability to adapt to changing conditions and work collaboratively with others is critical. By adopting PBL, educators can create a learning environment that better reflects the realities of professional practice, ultimately leading to more effective preparation for students entering the workforce (Walker and Leary, 2009; Wijngaards-de Meij and Merx, 2018).

4.3 Comparison With Existing Literature

The results of this study are consistent with existing research on the benefits of PBL in higher education. Previous studies have similarly found that PBL enhances student engagement, critical thinking, and practical skills (Barrows, 2018; Hmelo-Silver, 2004). However, this study adds to the literature by specifically focusing on the Built Environment sector, highlighting the unique advantages of PBL in preparing students for the interdisciplinary and practical demands of this field. While some studies have noted challenges in implementing PBL, such as the need for facilitator training and the development of appropriate assessment strategies (Dolmans et al., 2016), the overall benefits for student engagement and learning outcomes are well-supported.

4.4 Implications for Practice

The findings of this study have several practical implications for educators and institutions in the Built Environment sector:

- *Facilitator Training*: Successful implementation of PBL requires that facilitators are adequately trained to guide student learning without taking over the problem-solving process. Institutions should invest in professional development programs that equip educators with the skills needed to facilitate PBL effectively (Moghayedi et al., 2021).
- *Curriculum Design*: Integrating PBL into the curriculum requires a shift from traditional lecture-based instruction to more student-centred approaches. This may involve redesigning courses to include PBL modules that align with industry practices and real-world challenges (O'Connor et al., 2021).
- *Assessment Strategies*: Developing appropriate assessment methods is crucial for evaluating student performance in PBL settings. Assessments should focus not only on the final product but also on the process, including collaboration, critical thinking, and problem-solving skills (Dolmans et al., 2016).

4.5 Challenges and Limitations

Despite the positive outcomes associated with PBL, there are challenges and limitations to its adoption in Built Environment education. Resistance to change among faculty, the need for extensive curriculum redesign, and the resource-intensive nature of PBL are significant obstacles (Walker et al., 2019). Additionally, the effectiveness of PBL can be limited by inadequate facilitator training and the lack of appropriate assessment tools (Bendermacher et al., 2023). Future research should explore strategies to overcome these challenges and further investigate the long-term impact of PBL on student outcomes in the Built Environment sector.

5. Conclusion

The present study provides a comprehensive examination of the impact of Problem-Based Learning (PBL) on student engagement within Built Environment education. Through a systematic literature review and thematic analysis, the study identified that PBL significantly enhances student engagement by promoting collaborative learning, fostering critical thinking skills, and facilitating real-world application of knowledge. These findings underscore the effectiveness of PBL as an educational approach that aligns closely with the demands and complexities of the Built Environment sector. Additionally, the study highlighted the challenges associated with implementing PBL, including the need for effective facilitator training and the development of appropriate

assessment strategies, offering valuable insights for educators and institutions aiming to adopt this pedagogical method.

Despite the substantial benefits observed, there is a need for further research to deepen the understanding of PBL's long-term impact on student outcomes in Built Environment education. Future studies could explore the effectiveness of PBL across different cultural and institutional contexts, as well as its influence on students' professional competencies and career readiness over time. Investigating the integration of technology-enhanced PBL, such as virtual simulations and collaborative online platforms, may also provide insights into innovative ways to overcome existing implementation challenges and enhance learning experiences. Moreover, comparative studies between PBL and other active learning approaches could help delineate the specific conditions and contexts under which PBL is most effective.

The broader implications of adopting PBL in higher education are significant, extending beyond immediate educational outcomes to influence the development of a skilled and adaptable workforce. By engaging students actively in the learning process and equipping them with essential problem-solving and collaborative skills, PBL prepares graduates to navigate and address the complex challenges inherent in the Built Environment and related sectors. This pedagogical approach also contributes to fostering a culture of lifelong learning and adaptability, qualities that are increasingly important in today's rapidly changing professional landscapes.

In conclusion, PBL represents a transformative approach to higher education that aligns closely with the needs of the modern workforce. By promoting active engagement, critical thinking, and practical problem-solving skills, PBL equips students with the competencies necessary to succeed in their careers and contribute meaningfully to their fields. As higher education continues to evolve, the adoption of PBL and similar active learning strategies will be crucial in ensuring that students are not only prepared for the challenges of today but are also capable of shaping the future.

References

- Allison, J., & Pan, W. (2011). Implementing and Evaluating the Integration of Critical Thinking into Problem Based Learning in Environmental Building. *Journal for Education in the Built Environment*, 6, 115 - 93.
- Baeten, M., Kyndt, E., Struyven, K., & Dochy, F. (2016). Using student-centred learning environments to stimulate deep approaches to learning: Factors encouraging or discouraging their effectiveness. *Educational Research Review*, 15, 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2015.12.001>
- Barron, L., & Wells, L. (2013). Transitioning to the Real World through Problem-Based Learning: A Collaborative Approach to Teacher Preparation.
- Barrows, H. S. (2018). A taxonomy of problem-based learning methods. *Medical Education*, 20(6), 481-486. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.1986.tb01386.x>
- Bendermacher, G.W., Dolmans, D., & Oude Egbrink, M. (2023). How realist reviews might be helpful to further insights in Problem-Based Learning. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*.
- Bovill, C. (2020). Co-creation in learning and teaching: The case for a whole-class approach in higher education. *Higher Education*, 79(6), 1023-1037. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00453-w>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Deslauriers, L., McCarty, L. S., Miller, K., Callaghan, K., & Kestin, G. (2019). Measuring actual learning versus feeling of learning in response to being actively engaged in the classroom. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(39), 19251-19257. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1821936116>
- Dolmans, D. H., Loyens, S. M., Marq, H., & Gijbels, D. (2016). Deep and surface learning in problem-based learning: A review of the literature. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, 21(5), 1087-1112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10459-015-9645-6>
- Ertmer, P. A., & Simons, K. D. (2006). Jumping the PBL implementation hurdle: Supporting the efforts of K-12 teachers. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 1(1), 40-54. <https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1005>
- Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(23), 8410-8415. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>
- Galand, B., Buelens, H., & Frenay, M. (2019). Learning patterns in problem-based learning: A comprehensive review. *Educational Research Review*, 27, 51-71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2019.01.002>
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn? *Educational Psychology Review*, 16(3), 235-266. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EDPR.0000034022.16470.f3>
- Jonassen, D. H. (2014). *Learning to solve problems: A handbook for designing problem-solving learning environments*. Routledge.
- Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(2), 75-86. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4102_1

- Kokotsaki, D., Menzies, V.J., & Wiggins, A. (2016). Project-based learning: A review of the literature. *Improving Schools*, 19, 267 - 277.
- Kolb, A. Y., & Kolb, D. A. (2005). Learning styles and learning spaces: Enhancing experiential learning in higher education. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 4(2), 193-212. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2005.17268566>
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. Prentice Hall.
- Lauridsen, B. (2012). Problem-based Learning applied to Team Environments: A Visual Literature Review.
- Loyens, S. M., Magda, J., & Rikers, R. M. (2015). Self-directed learning in problem-based learning and its relationships with self-regulated learning. *Educational Psychology Review*, 20(4), 411-427. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-008-9082-7>
- Machemer, P. L., & Crawford, P. (2007). Student perceptions of active learning in a large cross-disciplinary classroom. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 8(1), 9-30. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787407074008>
- Masek, A. (2012). The Effect of Problem Based Learning on Critical Thinking Ability : A Theoretical and Empirical Review.
- Masrinah, E.N., Aripin, I., & Gaffar, A.A. (2019). PROBLEM BASED LEARNING (PBL) UNTUK MENINGKATKAN KETERAMPILAN BERPIKIR KRITIS.
- McLaren, S. J., Milligan, A., & Cooper, E. (2020). Sustainability in built environment education: Defining the curriculum. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 246, 118993. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118993>
- Michael, J. (2006). Where's the evidence that active learning works? *Advances in Physiology Education*, 30(4), 159-167. <https://doi.org/10.1152/advan.00053.2006>
- Moghayedi, A., Kashani, M. M., & Ahmadi, H. (2021). Barriers to the implementation of problem-based learning: The case of built environment education. *Journal of Problem Based Learning in Higher Education*, 9(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.5278/ojs.jpblhe.v9i1.6084>
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & The PRISMA Group. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLOS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
- Mulder, R. A., Pearson, J., & Jackson, C. J. (2022). Measuring student engagement in the context of problem-based learning: Psychometric properties of the PBL engagement scale. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 72, 101109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.101109>
- Noor, A.H., & Nurhayati, S. (2024). Project-Based Learning Implementation in a Participative Planning Course: Strategies, Outcomes, and Challenges. *CAHAYA PENDIDIKAN*.
- O'Connor, C., Dempsey, M., & Comiskey, C. (2021). Problem-based learning in construction management education: A review of the literature. *Construction Management and Economics*, 39(10), 875-890. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2021.1955287>
- Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide*. Blackwell Publishing.
- Piaget, J. (1970). *The Science of Education and the Psychology of the Child*. Grossman Publishers.
- Prince, M. (2004). Does active learning work? A review of the research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 93(3), 223-231. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2004.tb00809.x>
- Razak, A.A., Ramdan, M.R., Mahjom, N., Zabit, M.N., Muhammad, F., Hussin, M.Y., & Abdullah, N. (2022). Improving Critical Thinking Skills in Teaching through Problem-Based Learning for Students: A Scoping Review. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*.
- Reeve, J., & Tseng, C. M. (2011). Agency as a fourth aspect of students' engagement during learning activities. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 36(4), 257-267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2011.05.002>
- Sweller, J. (2010). Element interactivity and intrinsic, extraneous, and germane cognitive load. *Educational Psychology Review*, 22(2), 123-138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-010-9128-5>
- Tadesse, T., Gillies, R. M., & Manathunga, C. (2021). The impact of problem-based learning on student engagement, deep learning, and learning outcomes in higher education: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 33, 100394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2021.100394>
- Theobald, E. J., Hill, M. J., Tran, E., Agrawal, S., Arroyo, E. N., Behling, S., ... & Freeman, S. (2020). Active learning narrows achievement gaps for underrepresented students in undergraduate science, technology, engineering, and math. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(12), 6476-6483. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1916903117>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Walker, A., & Leary, H. (2009). A problem-based learning meta-analysis: Differences across problem types, implementation types, disciplines, and assessment levels. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Problem-Based Learning*, 3(1), 12-43. <https://doi.org/10.7771/1541-5015.1061>
- Walker, A., Leary, H., Hmelo-Silver, C. E., & Ertmer, P. A. (Eds.). (2019). *Essential readings in problem-based learning: Exploring and extending the legacy of Howard S. Barrows*. Purdue University Press.
- Wijngaards-de Meij, L., & Merx, S. (2018). Improving curriculum alignment and achieving learning goals by making the curriculum visible. *International Journal for Academic Development*, 23(3), 219-231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1360144X.2018.1462187>
- Xie, Q., & Derakhshan, A. (2021). An investigation into the relationship between teacher immediacy and student engagement in the context of online learning: The mediating role of student motivation. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 69(4), 2405-2423. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-021-09975-5>