Widowhood as Social Discipline: An Anthropological Reading of Nagesh Kukunoor's Dor

Shreya Das
Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar (IITGN), Palaj, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

shreyadas.hu@gmail.com

Abstract: When a spouse passes away in India, the onus of sacrifice and suffering is imposed upon a widow more than a widower. This gender discrimination in the context of widowhood sheds light on the systematic normalization of misogyny in a society. Cultural texts such as cinema often capture these dynamics with sensitivity, revealing how social norms operate through everyday gestures and spaces. This paper explores the cultural, affective, and political dimensions of Hindu widowhood through an anthropological reading of the film *Dor* (2006), directed by Nagesh Kukunoor. By examining the film's narrative and visual language, the study investigates how widowhood becomes a gendered obligation and how mourning is converted into a regime of social discipline. The paper employs a cinematic reading informed by perspectives from social and cultural anthropology. Rather than treating the film simply as a narrative text, the qualitative analysis approaches it as a cultural document that reflects lived social practices. I examine the plot, key scenes, dialogues, silences, gestures, and soundscapes of *Dor* to understand how widowhood reorganizes a widow's everyday life through her body, appearance, speech, and movement within domestic and community spaces. This interpretive approach places the film in conversation with anthropological debates on kinship, embodiment, multisensory culture, and gendered discipline. The analysis shows that widowhood in *Dor* is portrayed not merely as a private emotional state but as a culturally produced social condition. Although mourning is observed by the family, the burden of sacrifice falls primarily on the widow. The film illustrates how widowhood is enacted through everyday practices such as changes in clothing, bodily presentation, and restrictions on diet, speech and movement. These practices regulate the widow's sensory and social world, transforming her identity within the household and community. Simultaneously, the narrative introduces moments where these disciplinary structures begin to loosen. Through encounters between women and the emergence of empathy and solidarity, the film opens spaces where the widow's life can be imagined differently. The findings suggest that widowhood should be understood as a culturally produced institution sustained through ritual discipline, sensory regulation, and kinship control. Yet the film also demonstrates that these structures are not entirely fixed. Through friendship, affective bonds, and acts of moral courage, there lies the possibility of transformation within restrictive social systems. Reading *Dor* as a form of cinematic ethnography therefore reveals how cultural representation can illuminate the lived complexities of widowhood while simultaneously questioning the norms that sustain it. The film ultimately encourages us to reconsider widowhood not as an immutable tradition but as a contested social practice that remains open to change.

Keywords: Widowhood, Bollywood, Kinship, Caste, Patriarchy, Agency

1. Introduction

Anthropological scholarship has long emphasized that kinship[1] systems play a central role in organizing gender relations in India. Marriage integrates women into patrilineal[2] households where their identities are closely tied to their roles as wives and daughters-in-law. When this marital bond is disrupted by the husband's death, the widow's position within the family becomes uncertain and often precarious. Widowhood therefore represents not only emotional bereavement but also a reconfiguration of social belonging, authority, and dependency within the domestic sphere.

Cultural representations such as cinema offer a valuable lens for examining these social dynamics. Films often depict the textures of everyday life, including rituals, gestures, domestic spaces, and emotional interactions that shape social experience. In doing so, they can illuminate how cultural norms surrounding gender and family operate within ordinary situations. Indian cinema has frequently addressed themes of marriage, kinship, and female subjectivity, making it an important cultural archive for understanding gendered social relations.

This paper examines the film *Dor* (2006), directed by Nagesh Kukunoor, as a cultural text through which the social condition of widowhood can be explored. The narrative brings together two women whose lives intersect after a tragic incident involving their husbands. Set across different regional and cultural contexts within India, the story unfolds through their journeys, encounters, and evolving relationship. Through its depiction of domestic environments, emotional struggles, and moral dilemmas, the film raises important questions about the meanings of widowhood, responsibility, and choice.

By situating the film within broader anthropological discussions on kinship, embodiment[3], sensory life, caste, and gendered power, this paper seeks to examine how widowhood is represented as a socially structured condition. The analysis focuses on how cultural expectations surrounding mourning and femininity shape the

widow's everyday world and how these expectations are negotiated within interpersonal relationships and domestic spaces.

2. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative interpretive research design based on cinematic analysis, approaching film not merely as a narrative medium but as a cultural text that reflects and refracts social realities. Rather than treating the film solely as an artistic production, it is examined as a site where regular cultural practices, moral values, and social hierarchies become visible. I analyze the film as a representational field through which broader social structures surrounding gender, kinship, and widowhood can be explored. This interpretive approach positions the film as a cultural document that offers insight into lived social worlds and the symbolic frameworks through which they are understood.

The analytical process involves a close and sustained reading of the film's narrative structure and visual language. I give attention to scenes depicting ritual practices, domestic environments, bodily comportment, and interpersonal interactions among characters. I examine elements such as dialogue, silence, gestures, costume, spatial arrangements, and soundscape to understand how widowhood is constructed and communicated through cinematic form. By attending to these sensory and narrative dimensions, the analysis traces how everyday practices of mourning, restraint, and social discipline are represented on screen. Such an approach allows me to read the film ethnographically, revealing how social expectations surrounding gender and widowhood are embedded within ordinary actions, emotional expressions, and the organization of domestic life.

The interpretation of these cinematic elements is guided by theoretical perspectives drawn from social and cultural anthropology. Pierre Bourdieu's concept of habitus[4] provides a conceptual framework for understanding how widowhood can become embodied through everyday practices that shape behaviour, perception, and bodily disposition (Bourdieu 1977). Insights from Leela Dube's study of gender and kinship in South Asia offer a broader lens for examining how marital status structures women's identities and social belonging within patrilineal family systems (Dube 1997). The anthropology of the senses further informs how social hierarchies are reproduced through the organization of sensory experience, including restrictions on dress, sound, food, and movement (Porcello et al. 2010). In addition, Bhrigupati Singh's reflections on affect and ethical relationality help illuminate the emotional dynamics that emerge between characters and the ways interpersonal relationships can generate new moral possibilities (Singh 2015). Finally, discussions of caste and social hierarchy provide contextual grounding for understanding the broader socio-cultural environment within which widowhood practices are situated (Uberoi, Sundar and Deshpande 2007). Through the integration of these perspectives, I read the film as a form of cinematic ethnography that reveals how cultural norms surrounding widowhood operate within everyday life while also exposing the tensions and negotiations embedded within them.

2.1 Kinship and the Collapse of the Marital Self

Kinship remains one of the most powerful structures through which gender is lived, felt, and enforced, and Dor captures this with unsettling precision. Meera's life unfolds almost as an ethnographic illustration of the relational kinship model described in anthropological literature. As a young bride in a conservative Rajasthani[5] joint family, every aspect of her everyday world — the colours she wears, the jewellery she adorns, the food she eats — is organized around her position as wife and daughter-in-law. The sudden death of her husband reveals how precarious this identity truly is. Bock and Rao's observation on "the changed status of widowed women" (2000, p. 38) resonates deeply here; Meera finds herself suspended in an ambiguous social space, no longer a wife yet unable to return to the security of her natal home. Their reminder that "individuals, even if widowed, never return and reside in their natal tents" (Bock and Rao, 2000, p. 167) echoes through Meera's isolation.

Although Bock and Rao (2000) emphasize that kinship is shaped by "human emotions" (p. 3), Meera's relationship with her in-laws is a kinship drained of affect and reduced to transaction. Her father-in-law's view of her as the household's "Lakshmi"[6] is tied not to affection but to the economic stability her husband once provided. The mother-in-law, meanwhile, communicates largely through disapproval and surveillance. Meera's emotional world, once anchored in her marriage, collapses after her husband's death. Widowhood strips her not only of a partner but of the very relational ties that once gave her life meaning and protection.

Dube's argument that kinship in India is fundamentally "androcentric"[7] (1997, p. 155) becomes painfully visible in Meera's transformation from a joyful bride to a withdrawn widow. The domestic space of the *haveli*[8] becomes a disciplinary landscape: inner quarters, lowered voices, and restricted mobility. Watching Meera navigate these

constricting spaces, I am reminded of how kinship systems in patrilineal Hindu households continue to define womanhood, wifehood, and widowhood through the logic of patriarchal control. The "dialectical relation between kinship and gender identities" (Bock and Rao, 2000, p. 117) is not just an abstract concept; it is lived out in Meera's shrinking world.

2.2 Habitus and the Imposed Widowhood

Bourdieu's notion of habitus renders a useful lens for understanding how widowhood operates far beyond a simple shift in social status. In *Dor*, Meera's entry into widowhood feels less like a singular moment and more like an embodied re-training, a slow reshaping of her sensory world and bodily comportment. I find myself noticing how every detail — the dark blue clothing, the tasteless food, the withdrawal from music and movement — becomes part of this re-education. Dube's reminder that "restraints on behaviour and movement are particularly stringent for pre-menopausal widows" (1997, p. 66) resonates deeply here. What Meera undergoes is, essentially, the crafting of a new habitus aligned with the disciplinary expectations of widowhood.

Meera's habitus, though heavily shaped by patriarchal norms, is not entirely immovable. Habitus, as Bourdieu himself suggests, is durable but not immutable; it can shift when exposed to new fields of experience. Zeenat becomes precisely an inducer of happiness. Through their growing friendship, I watch Meera's embodied world subtly expand — a hesitant smile, a moment of music, a shared plate of *panipuri*[9]. These gestures may seem small, but anthropologically they signal a significant break from the internalized scripts of self-denial and obedience. *Dor* thus reveals habitus not only as a mechanism of social reproduction but as a terrain open to quiet, affective forms of transformation.

This is where Bourdieu's insight that the body is a deeply social body, inscribed by history, honour, and disciplinary norms, becomes especially vivid. "Intimate life," he writes, including "feelings and passions," is something that dominant social orders seek to veil (1977, p. 125). Meera's journey shows how thoroughly this veiling is enforced. It also shows how the body can unlearn its constraints when exposed to alternative logics of friendship, care, and solidarity. Her transformation is not only emotional; it is profoundly physical. What *Dor* reveals is a re-education of the body itself, a gradual reorientation of habitus toward autonomy, joy, and affective freedom.

2.3 Widowhood Rituals, Multi-sensory Culture, and the Gendered Body

One of the insights from Porcello et al. is their claim that sensory perception is never neutral; it is always political. They describe the "historical and political relations between sensory orders and social orders" (2010, p. 53). Likewise, widowhood is lived through the senses through what one is allowed to wear, taste, hear, or even see. These are not merely religious prescriptions; they are political acts that discipline the body into gendered hierarchies. When Dube notes that "a widowed woman changes to a black or dark sari or dress for life" (1997, p. 114), I immediately recognize Meera's own transformation in *Dor*. The sensory world around her collapses into monotones, and through that collapse, the film makes visible how culture scripts the body into becoming a particular kind of woman.

Dube's observation that upper-caste widows are "ceremonially divested of the significata of the married state" and rendered "unattractive creatures" (1997, p. 114) resonates deeply with what unfolds on screen. Meera's widowhood begins with a public and painful ritual of erasure — the breaking of bangles, the removal of jewellery and *sindoor*[10], the stripping away of colour (22:14–23:03). Each item taken from her feels like a part of her identity pried loose. When I return to Porcello et al.'s statement that "the anthropology of the senses… reinserts sensorial perception as a site of knowledge construction" (2010, p. 60), I see *Dor* doing something similar. The narrative speaks back to these purificatory practices by foregrounding the emotional and political violence embedded in them.

Widowhood, as *Dor* portrays it, emerges through sensory deprivation. Meera's new life is structured by detachment and austerity. It is a kind of social death that shadows her actual, physical existence. Her withdrawal from song, dance, festive lights, and communal joy is not merely personal grief; it is culturally mandated silence. The film itself mimics this sensory austerity: muted colours, slowed movements, long stretches of quiet. Watching her navigate this new world — the darkened wardrobe, the tasteless meals, the oppressive stillness — it becomes an anthropological cue pointing to the violence of tradition disguised as piety.

Nevertheless, what moves me most is how the film imagines sensory reclamation. The moment Meera begins to dance again (1:09:32–1:10:26), she is not simply breaking a rule; she is rewriting the sensory terms of her existence. The dance feels like a return of colour, sound, and breath — a multisensory resurrection. Even a

fleeting moment like Meera secretly eating *panipuri* with Zeenat (1:08:12–1:08:24) reads as an embodied act of choice and pleasure, a small assertion that she, too, is entitled to taste and joy. It is here that Porcello et al. 's reminder that "cinema studies engage with the senses and embodiment" (2010, p. 58) becomes especially pertinent. *Dor* does not simply depict sensory life; it uses sensory experience to mark the difference between oppression and possibility.

2.4 Caste, Political Economy and Honour

Although *Dor* does not overtly name caste, its visual and narrative textures unmistakably evoke an upper-caste, land-owning milieu. The father-in-law's refusal to sell the *haveli*, even amid escalating financial crisis, feels like what Uberoi et al. call the "unspoken advantage of caste" (2007, p. 21). It is the quiet assurance that ancestral property, status, and honour must remain intact, regardless of economic strain. His obsession with honour emerges not only from gendered authority but from the logic of brahmanical-patriarchy[11]. Meera is expected to grieve in ways that safeguard the family's moral capital, her suffering becoming a performance that upholds caste prestige.

The moment the father-in-law attempts to barter Meera to a wealthy tenant for five lakh rupees (1:24:58–1:27:01) is chilling. It exposes the extent to which her body and identity are rendered fungible within a caste-patriarchal economy. Widowhood, in this context, is not just a personal loss but an economic category — one that transforms the widow into a negotiable asset rather than a grieving human being. When Meera finally pushes back against this dehumanizing transaction (1:34:57–1:37:07), she is challenging the very foundation of caste-based honour that has commodified her.

Caste may appear invisible in *Dor*, but it quietly structures the weight of widowhood. As Dube notes, upper-caste widows — particularly Brahmin[12] and Rajput[13] women — have historically borne the harshest widowhood rituals, precisely because they are cast as the keepers of purity and lineage. Their sexuality and mobility must be tightly controlled to protect caste boundaries: "Restraints on behaviour and movement are particularly stringent for pre-menopausal widows… [and] proper demeanour, physical distance, and modes of speech serve as mechanisms for the management of female sexuality" (Dube, 1997, p. 66). Watching Meera move through the *haveli*'s constrained spaces, I realize how widowhood becomes a caste project, inscribing the widow's body with the purity codes of the dominant caste. Her in-laws act as custodians of this disciplinary world, ensuring that the rituals of loss also preserve the symbolic capital of their caste identity.

Widowhood is deeply precarious economically. Dube's observation that widowhood often results in "economic deprivation" and withdrawal from social life (1997, p. 115) comes alive in Meera's situation. Once her husband dies, she remains in the conjugal home, but now as a dependent without authority, income, or legitimacy. Her life is no longer hers to shape. The household finances are tightly controlled by her father-in-law, and her desires are systematically subordinated to the family's economic priorities. The political economy of widowhood becomes a quiet but persistent presence in the film, reminding that gendered vulnerability is inseparable from economic dispossession.

However, *Dor* complicates this picture through its juxtaposition of Meera with Zeenat, whose Muslim identity and mountain upbringing place her outside the world of brahmanical patriarchies. Zeenat's marriage appears grounded in mutual respect, and her journey is driven by action and agency rather than ritualized suffering. The contrast between the two women opens up a comparative lens through which the film critiques the caste Hindu construction of widowhood. Meera's suffering, the film suggests, is not some universal female destiny but a culturally and hierarchically produced one. Through this inter-religious, inter-regional pairing, *Dor* gently but powerfully exposes the specificities and the violences of caste in shaping widowhood.

2.5 Female Solidarity and Agency

Singh's work offers a lens that feels deeply resonant with the emotional core of *Dor*. His idea of "agnostic" intensities — those affective currents that exceed formal structures of kinship, caste, and religion (Singh, 2015). It explains why the relationship between Meera and Zeenat feels so transformative. Their bond does not fit neatly into any conventional category. It is not kinship by blood, marriage, or obligation; instead, it emerges from a shared vulnerability, a mutual recognition, and an unexpected ethical attachment. I find myself reading their friendship as a kind of counter-kinship, one that quietly subverts the oppressive structures that have shaped Meera's widowhood.

Zeenat's presence functions as a catalyst. She enters Meera's life not with pity but with trust, that Meera is capable of making a moral decision, and that she is more than the role imposed upon her. In the world Meera

inhabits, where every gesture is policed and every desire suppressed. On the other hand, Zeenat's companionship becomes an opening, a breach in the suffocating logic of patriarchal control. Their growing intimacy — in shared laughter, stolen moments of freedom, or simply walking together — feels like a reclamation of agency through relationality.

The grandmother-in-law's small but significant gesture of solidarity at the end of the film reinforces this reading. She advises Meera to listen to her heart and follow the *dor*[14] of responsibility and forgiveness (1:37:45–1:41:03). This is an act of quiet insurgency within a heavily patriarchal household. This moment is not merely an intergenerational exchange but a feminist rupture, where widowed women begin to support one another through elective affinities rather than ritualized suffering.

Through Zeenat, Meera discovers the possibility of action — not in grand gestures but in small acts that disrupt her prescribed widowhood. Her choice to forgive Zeenat's husband becomes a pivotal moment, one that marks her shift from being acted upon to becoming an agent of her own story. It is a decision rooted not in duty, honour, or caste expectation but in an affective openness that Singh might describe as a form of ethical vitality.

Meera's final act — running away from the family, not in fear but in pursuit of a new life (1:48:40–1:50:17) — is one of the most moving scenes in the film. It feels like an embodied refusal of the social death imposed upon her. This is not an escape; it is emergence. Singh's words echo here: "When women's suppression is interpreted as related to the domain of kinship, a revolution in that domain is needed to attain women's liberation" (2015, p. 178). Meera's departure is precisely a revolution — quiet, tentative, but resolutely her own. In *Dor*, solidarity is not an abstract ideal; it is lived in gestures, choices, and shared affect. It becomes the force through which Meera reclaims her agency, and through which the film imagines new forms of kinship beyond the violence of caste and patriarchy.

3. Limitation

The limitation of the study is that it is based on the interpretive analysis of a single film. It means the findings reflect a cultural representation of widowhood rather than empirical ethnographic data from widowed women's lived experiences. The film, however, provides valuable anthropological material, illuminating the tensions between social structure and personal experience.

4. Conclusion

This paper has examined widowhood as a culturally produced form of social discipline through an anthropological reading of *Dor* by Nagesh Kukunoor. The analysis demonstrates that widowhood in the film is not portrayed merely as an emotional response to bereavement but as a socially structured condition sustained through kinship relations, caste hierarchies, ritual prescriptions, and everyday practices of regulation. Through changes in clothing, food, mobility, speech, and bodily comportment, mourning becomes transformed into a long-term social identity. The widow's body and sensory world are reorganized in ways that reproduce patriarchal and caste-based norms within the domestic sphere.

By engaging with anthropological perspectives on kinship, habitus, sensory culture, and political economy, the study has shown how these disciplinary structures operate through ordinary gestures and spaces. Reading *Dor* as a form of cinematic ethnography therefore allows us to see widowhood not as an immutable cultural tradition but as a historically produced and socially maintained institution. The film illuminates how deeply widowhood is embedded in systems of power while also suggesting that transformation becomes possible through affective relationships and ethical choice. In doing so, it invites a broader reconsideration of how gendered suffering is normalized within social life and how it might be reimagined otherwise.

Endnotes

[1]Relatedness or connection by blood or marriage or adoption.

[2]Related to the family's line of male descendants.

[3]A theoretical framework in Anthropology exploring how culture, social relations, and power are experienced, lived, and expressed through the human body.

[4]"A system of durable, transposable dispositions which functions as the generative basis of structured, objectively unified practices" (Bourdieu 1972, p. vii).

[5]Relating to or characteristic of the western Indian state of Rajasthan or its inhabitants.

[6]Hindu goddess of wealth and prosperity.

[7]Focused or centred on men.

[8]A large mansion.

[9]Primarily a street food, that literally translates to “water-balls”.

[10]A traditional red or maroon-red powder or liquid cosmetic worn by married Hindu women along the parting of their hairline, symbolizing marital status, auspiciousness, and the blood-red colour of commitment. Also known as vermillion.

[11]The system under which the caste hierarchy and the gender hierarchy come together, in which upper-caste men socially and economically exert control over people, especially women, from marginalized castes.

[12]The highest-ranking of the four *varnas* (social classes) in Hinduism, infamously known to be the oppressor caste.

[13]A prominent patrilineal warrior clan and landed community in northern, western, and central India.

[14]A thread.

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge Dr. Nishaant Choksi for building my critical perspectives in Anthropology as a component of the MA Society and Culture curriculum at Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar (IITGN). This paper is a revised version of the final-term research paper for the respective course.

Ethics Declaration: No ethics clearance was required for the research presented in the paper.

AI Declaration: No AI tool was used in the development of the paper.

References

Bourdieu, P. (1977) *The Sense of Honour*. In: *Algeria 1960*, pp. 95–132. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Dube, L. (1997) *Women and Kinship: Perspectives on Gender in South and South-East Asia*. Tokyo: United Nations University Press.

Kukunoor, N. (2006) *Dor* [film]. Sahara One Motion Pictures; SIC Productions; Percept Picture Company.

Porcello, T., Meintjes, L., Ochoa, A.M. and Samuels, D.W. (2010) ‘The Reorganization of the Sensory World’, *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 39(1), pp. 51–66.

Singh, B. (2015) ‘Erotics and Agnostics’, in *Poverty and the Quest for Life: Spiritual and Material Living in Rural India*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Uberoi, P., Sundar, N. and Deshpande, S. (2007) ‘Introduction: The Professionalization of Indian Anthropology and Sociology – People, Places and Institutions’, in *Anthropology in the East: Founders of Indian Sociology and Anthropology*, pp. 1–63. Kolkata: Seagull Books.